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THE CISTERCIAN WAY

By MICHAEL CASEY

Cistercian spirituality is defined, in the first place, by its pedigree. It is a particular interpretation of the sixth-century Rule of St Benedict as this was lived in the ‘New Monastery’ of Citeaux founded in Burgundy in 1098. The tradition, thus begun, quickly spread to the four corners of Europe so that there were 352 monasteries of Cistercian monks at the death of St Bernard in 1153.

Demographic expansion was paralleled by a widespread concern within the monasteries to understand the essential quality of the Cistercian charism. The growth of the Order is impossible to comprehend except by reference to an articulate group of writers who expressed and propagated the values of the Cistercian ideal. Bernard of Clairvaux (1090–1153) is famous. William of St-Thierry (1075–1148), Guerriec of Igny (1080–1157) and Aelred of Rievaulx (1109–1167) also are of global importance. There were scores of other writers such as Gilbert of Swineshead (d. 1172), Baldwin of Forde, later Archbishop of Canterbury (d. 1190), John of Forde (d. 1214) and Stephen of Sawley (d. 1252) who had a local following in their lifetimes and whose works remain powerful even today. Among the nuns it is Beatrice of Nazareth (1200–1268) who is most typical, although Gertrude the Great (1256–1302) and others from Helfta also came within the Cistercian ambit. Such persons of great spiritual and literary power not only expressed the Cistercian grace but also contributed to its deepening and growth. Among the many monastic reforms of the eleventh and twelfth centuries Citeaux prevailed because it was the most literate.

Cistercian spirituality is not, however, the exclusive domain of such spiritual giants. It is not a philosophy but a way of life (conversatio); not only a network of beliefs and values but also a body of practices that shape the experiences of those committed to this way. The literary expression of Cistercian spirituality is not self-standing; it presupposes that it was ‘from the lives and labours of innumerable brothers and sisters that a powerful spiritual heritage arose’. It is this reliance on the commonality of monastic experience that gives cohesiveness and unity to the diverse expressions of Cistercian spirituality. In this way the body of writings generated in the early centuries can be seen both as the unfolding of the normative vision of the founding abbots (Robert of Molesme, Alberic and Stephen Harding) and as the expressions of the inner meaning of the daily life followed by so many monks and nuns throughout the world and in different centuries. Nor was this ‘unanimity’ an accidental
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outcome. It was explicitly provided for by the two foundational documents of the Order, the *Exordium parvum* and the *Carta caritatis*. In subsequent periods of confusion and decline this written fund of doctrine provided a rallying call for efforts of renewal and restoration.

What values were paramount in the experience of Cistercians?

Creative fidelity

The Cistercian reform was never intended as a completely new initiative. The founders understood their enterprise as a return to a more integral observance of the Rule of Benedict. They located themselves within an existing spiritual tradition. The external priorities envisaged by the reform were already outlined by the Rule and explained and supported by five centuries of teaching. The foundation of this way of life was the daily service of the Liturgy of the Hours, buttressed by a disciplined existence that gave scope for prayer and *lectio divina*. In addition a certain style of community living was prescribed, with shared living space and activities, providing for some measure of interaction and responsibility. To balance the spiritual observances, there is room for hospitality and the obligation of real work. The spirituality propounded by the Rule is one that gives meaning to such a lifestyle. The spirituality and the lifestyle cannot be viewed in isolation from each other.

From the sixth to the tenth centuries western monasticism became more learned. Responding to St Benedict's call to wider reading as a means of broadening and deepening their understanding of monastic values, Benedictine monasteries developed impressive libraries and the level of literacy among the monks rose. As a result, there was a wider access to the great works of the patristic era, and considerable theological enrichment of the monastic tradition followed naturally. By the time of the Cistercian reform such works as Gregory the Great's *Moralia* ranked with the Scriptures and the liturgical books as normal monastic reading. The so-called Dark Ages witnessed a real development of doctrine as far as monasticism was concerned.

All this positive evolution the Cistercians gladly made their own. It was against the perceived laxity of many of the existing monastic families that they reacted.

One reason for the longevity of the Rule of Benedict was its adaptability to local circumstances. Benedict is famous for his reasonableness, discernment and moderation. Such broadness, however, can provide an occasion for decline, either when the resources of a local community are insufficient to resist destructive leadership (as in the case of Abbot Pons of Cluny) or when a general slackening of standards sets in. Stable communities can easily become dulled by routine; and it is just as easy to institutionalize bad habits as good. The challenge of integral Benedictine observance is such that it tends to demand more than a median degree of fervour. 'Moderation' easily becomes mediocrity and in such a situation autonomous Benedictine communities have only limited possibilities of reversing the trend. This is why many of the great moments in Benedictine history are linked with the upheaval of reform.
Robert, Alberic and Stephen, the first abbots of Citeaux, saw as their first priority a return to the 'purity' of the Rule's observance. This they did by trimming away the customary concessions and mitigations that hindered monastic observance from generating energy. In the forefront of their minds was the desire to be faithful to Benedict's vision. But something else was operating of which they may have been unaware. With the benefit of hindsight we are able to recognize that what made the Cistercian initiative so exciting was that it was responsive to the 'signs of the times'. There was no question of neo-primitivism. What they proposed was a thoroughly 'modern' version of Benedictine monasticism. They reread the Rule in the light of contemporary aspirations. The reforms they propagated were 'novel', as protagonists among the Black Monks complained, in the sense that they departed from conventional usage and provided men of the twelfth century with a life-style that did for them what Benedict's Rule had done for their forebears in the sixth.

The reform of 1098 had another twist. As its concept took root and adherents multiplied, precautions became necessary to ensure that its specific observances were not watered down. Each monastery retained a supervisory role over all the monasteries it founded to ensure that fidelity did not wane. To supplement this there was to be an annual chapter under the presidency of the Abbot of Citeaux to ensure that all 'lived under the one rule and in the practice of the same usages'. In the inevitable fluctuation of fervour, abbeys were to support one another in such a way that the dynamism of the reform was not lost. Checks and balances were added to ensure that local autonomy remained an agent of fruitful adaptation and not the cause of unnoticed decline.

Austerity

The most obvious characteristic of Cistercian life, in the beginning, as now, and wherever its integrity survives, is its relative simplicity and austerity. Shortly after 1135, Ordericus Vitalis described the distinctive features of the reform as it appeared to an outsider:

All go without trousers and lambskins. They abstain from the eating of fat and meat... They have a care for silence all the time and wear no dyed clothing. They work with their own hands to provide food and clothing for themselves. They fast from 13 September until Easter, except on Sundays. They bar their entrances and allow no access to the interior [of the monastery]... By their own work they have founded monasteries in deserts and wooded places.

It is a fact, evident in the primitive documents, that the early Cistercians first defined themselves by what they rejected. In their determination to live the Rule literally, the pioneers permitted themselves to become famous for the 'unusual and almost unheard-of harshness of their life'. At a time when many people were living rough in previously unsettled frontiers, the Cistercian adventure probably appeared far more attractive than the stodgy routines of
the large, established monasteries. Superfluity was trimmed to the bone and the result was a life that had a sharp focus and a clear sense of purpose. Not surprisingly, morale was high and recruitment was easy.

Early Cîteaux was designed for the young and fervent. As monasteries grew and pastoral care had to adapt to new situations, some of the early extremism was modified — especially after the death of Stephen Harding in 1134. Detailed prescription and close monitoring proved impossible in a complex international organization and, inevitably, the pastoral control exercised in the early days quickly became impracticable. Gradually, like rising damp, patches of decline began to appear as monasteries found themselves forced to respond to new demands with insufficient resources. The 'Golden Age' was over.

Simplicity that bordered on the extreme was considered so essential that, in the fourteenth century, an interpolator added a phrase to the Roman Privilege of 1100 to make the protection of the Apostolic See seem to be dependent on the 'continued observance of discipline and frugality'. Even today, when living standards have never been higher, a very simple life-style is an effective gauge of Cistercian authenticity. Negative values are hard to market and easy to ignore, but the Cistercian charism cannot exist without them: silence, separation from secular pursuits and mass media, a humble economy based on the monks' work, the avoidance of indulgence and display in buildings and liturgy, a certain abstemiousness in food, clothing, furnishings and equipment. Austerity is not the only value — and there is always the danger of confusing means and ends — but a life that has no bite is not Cistercian.

Experience

The twelfth century was a time in which emerged an increased appreciation of selfhood, subjectivity and experience. The emphasis on subjective dispositions already found in the Rule of Benedict developed into a keen interest in the inner face of monastic observances. Monastic life moved away from the idea that the monk's task was the performance of certain duties or services to concentrate more on the quality of his experience. Objective observances were seen to have as their goal subjective formation. The performance of prescribed acts functioned to promote the growth of persons to fuller humanity.

It was for this reason that the Cistercian authors insisted on the anthropological component of spirituality. A knowledge of God was not enough. It was necessary also to appreciate the nature, potential and limitations of the recipients of grace. Just as self-knowledge was necessary for an individual's authentic progress, so pastors needed to be aware of the inner determinants of human behaviour. Some writers even dabbled in medical science to ground themselves in reality. It is this concern for the human aspects of theology that gives to Cistercian literature its distinctive feel.

From their consideration of human beings as created in the image and likeness of God, the Cistercians developed a spirituality based on desire for God. They saw, as parallel to the teaching of the Church and objective revelation, an innate sense of God that guides, energizes and sustains us in our
seeking of the transcendent. Religion was not seen as a matter of external
certainties, but of fidelity to inward grace. The search for God was understood
to coincide with the deepest aspirations of the heart; it was human fulfilment
and not alienation.

This fundamental optimism was a source of courage in accepting as normal
the alterations in experience that mark human progress. Everyone goes
through phases of negativity and it is during these periods that encouragement
is needed most. One of the characteristics of Cistercian writing was its
willingness to identify and describe the obstacles to growth – often with a
certain amount of humour – and to suggest means of avoiding them. It is this
pragmatic and phenomenological approach which gives these texts a down-to-
earth quality which makes them pleasant to read and endows them with a
certain timelessness.

Affectivity

The twelfth century was, likewise, an era in which love was seen as the
primary goal of human existence.11 It was the age of the love-lyric and of a
revival of Ovid. Chrétien de Troyes was a neighbour of Bernard of Clairvaux.
The theme of love is one that predominates in all the Cistercian authors of the
twelfth and thirteenth centuries and the Song of Songs was their devouring
interest. When Bernard spoke to the already mature recruits to monastic life,
he described the spiritual journey in terms that resonated with their own
experience. It was a pursuit of love, a response to love, a forgetfulness of self
in order to make room for love.

Yet the Cistercians did more than celebrate love. They also instructed their
monks about how to install love as a principle of governance in their lives,
how to let love grow to assume its natural proportions, how to implement
love’s dictates in the details of daily life. In his youth Bernard wrote On the
necessity of loving God, and at the end of his life the same theme dominated
his thoughts. William of St-Thierry was the author of The nature and dignity
of love and Aelred’s best-known works are The mirror of charity and Spiritual
friendship. These are lyrical works but they are also the products of theologi-
cal reflection and of practical experience. The Cistercian phenomenon
revolves around the psychology and theology of love.

Love expressed itself most naturally for the Cistercians in their community
life. Monasteries were regarded as ‘schools of love’ and there was a strong
affective tone in everything that concerned community life.12 Within com-
unities and between communities charity was the goal proposed, with no
divorce envisaged between love of God and of the brothers. Whatever
problems occurred, love remained the ideal.

This is very clear in the treatise of Beatrice of Nazareth, The seven modes of
love. A century after St Bernard she translates this typical Cistercian emphasis
into a language and form that suits her own situation. Like her predecessors
the dominant thought and leitmotiv of her life is Minne.
Love has drawn her and led her
and taught her the ways she has faithfully followed.
Often in great labour and in many activities,
in great infirmity and in strong desire,
in frequent impatience and in great dissatisfaction,
in adversity and in prosperity,
in lacking and in having,
in climbing and in hanging suspended,
in following and in striving,
in need and anxiety,
in fear and concern,
in great faithfulness and in many unfaithfulnesses,
in pleasure and in pain,
is she ready to suffer.
In death and in life she commits herself to love.¹³

There can be no doubt that the first Cistercians were men and women in love
with love.

Mysticism

Spiritual experience – with a strong affective component – is the engine that
drives Cistercians forward. It is because of some sort of experience that young
men turned their backs on worldly pleasures and entered the monastery.¹⁴ As
the years pass, it is just as necessary that there be an interior counterpoise to
the negativity of asceticism and austerity that renders them tolerable, and
permits the monk to persevere and ‘be content in a life that is ordinary,
obsure and laborious’.¹⁵ Unless the instinct for God is fed and fostered, the
focus of the life becomes fuzzy and Cistercian specificity is lost.

Cistercian mysticism is the unseen concomitant of external conversatio. It
begins long before entry with an external devotion and a sentimental attach-
ment to the person of Christ. This leads, perhaps, to an awakening of the
spiritual sense (compunctio) and thence to conversion. Thus desire is engen-
dered. With the assent of the will, this becomes a seeking of God which
gradually assumes the highest priority. It is about this time that monastic life is
embraced. The steadfastness of such resolve is deepened by vicissitude, and
its self-serving elements are patiently leached away. The Word visits the soul
and there is, occasionally, a brief but life-giving encounter that has the effect
of intensifying desire. It is as this point that the monk begins to move towards
singleness of heart; there is a conformity of his will with God’s that leads to
spiritual marriage, unitas spiritus, and ecstasy. By God’s gift heaven seems
very close.

Such an itinerary is never far from the mind of Bernard and his friends.
Their view of monastic life is thoroughly mystical. It makes no sense unless it
leads to contemplation. Here two provisos are in order. The mystical teaching
of the Cistercians was always biblical; it flowed from an interpretation of
scriptural texts and strictly maintained itself within their limits. Secondly, it was not concerned with the extraordinary or parapsychological. It was a profoundly ethical mysticism, with its feet on the ground and its guarantee sought in daily behaviour. Spiritual experience was not reserved for the advanced; its modalities suited persons at every stage of the ascent to God. The anecdotal history of Herbert of Clairvaux illustrates this beautifully: the monk is open to be touched by God whether he is chanting the psalms, or engaged in private prayer; when he is sick or oppressed by labour or disgusted by monastic cooking or even on the brink of desertion. To these monks it seemed that heaven and earth interpenetrated in the paradisus claustralis; no wonder Bernard warned his community that there were angels lurking behind every corner. What today strikes us as unusual is the fact that mystical experience seems almost to have been taken for granted: the monastery was really believed to be a school of contemplation.

Summary

The Cistercian way is grounded on the Rule of Benedict and Latin tradition. Cistercian spirituality involves material observance of the great precepts of the Rule, with sensitivity to the needs and aspirations of our own times and with some protection against degeneration. The Cistercian way is austere, because this is the only means by which consciousness can be purified for the deep experience of love and contemplation, but it is a spirituality of optimism and light: biblical, experiential and affective. It has a strong eschatological character, but meanwhile, its external roughness is balanced by greater inner sweetness.
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